

Contents

Workshop on Open Problems in
 Mathematical Systems Theory and Control
 June 30, 1997

1	Uniform asymptotic stability of linear time-varying systems	1
	<i>Dirk Aeyels, Joan Peuteman</i>	1
2	System approximation in the 2-norm	6
	<i>A.C. Antoulas</i>	6
3	Open Problems in sequential parametric estimation	10
	<i>Er-Wei Bai, Roberto Tempo, Yinyu Ye</i>	10
4	Matrix inequality conditions for factorization of rational transfer function matrices	14
	<i>Venkatasraman Balakrishnan</i>	14
5	Conditions for the existence and uniqueness of optimal matrix scalings	17
	<i>Venkatasraman Balakrishnan</i>	17
6	Open problems in ℓ_1 optimal control	20
	<i>Bassam Bamieh, Munther A. Dahleh</i>	20
7	Convergence of an algorithm for the Riemannian SVD	23
	<i>Bart De Moor</i>	23
8	Motion planning for some parameter distributed systems	27
	<i>Michel Fließ</i>	27
9	Approximation of complex μ	29
	<i>Minyue Fu</i>	29

Partially supported by:

Université de Liège
 Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique
 Communauté Française de Belgique

10 Spectral value sets of infinite-dimensional systems <i>E. Gallestein, D. Hinrichsen, A. J. Pritchard</i>	32	<i>Paul Van Dooren</i>	81
11 Robust stability of linear stochastic systems <i>D. Hinrichsen, A. J. Pritchard</i>	37	22 Covering numbers for input-output maps realizable by neural networks <i>M. Vidyaagar</i>	82
12 Lyapunov exponents of bilinear systems <i>D. Hinrichsen, F. Wirth</i>	42	23 Complexity, misfit, and latency <i>Jan C. Willems</i>	88
13 Elimination of latent variables in real differential algebraic systems <i>Iven Mareels, Jan C. Willems</i>	46	24 Lyapunov theory for high order differential systems <i>Jan C. Willems</i>	93
14 Max-plus-times linear systems <i>Max-Plus Working Group</i>	51		
15 On chaotic observer design <i>Henk Nijmeijer</i>	55		
16 Convergence of iterative learning control algorithms for relative degree two systems <i>David H. Owens</i>	58		
17 To estimate the L_2 -gain of two dynamic systems <i>Anders Rantzer</i>	61		
18 Open problems in the area of pole placement <i>Joachim Rosenthal, Jan C. Willems</i>	63		
19 Phase-sensitive structured singular value <i>André L. Tits, V. Balakrishnan</i>	74		
20 Conservatism of the standard upper bound test: Is $\sup(\bar{\mu}/\mu)$ finite? Is it bounded by 2? <i>Onur Toker, Bram de Jager</i>	77		
21 Isospectral flows and the shifted QR algorithm	81		

7

Convergence of an algorithm for the Riemannian SVD

Bart De Moor

ESAT-SISTA

Katholieke Universiteit Leuven
B-3001 Leuven
BELGIUM

1 Problem statement

The Riemannian SVD of a given matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$ is a nonlinear generalization of the SVD:

Riemannian SVD

$$\begin{aligned} A v &= D_v u \tau, & v^T D_v u &= 1, & v^T v &= 1, \\ A^T u &= D_u v \tau, & v^T D_u v &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

Here $u \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^q$ are a left, resp. right singular vector and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ is a singular value. The matrices $D_u \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q}$ and $D_v \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ are symmetric positive definite matrix functions, the elements of which are quadratic in the components of u , resp. v .

The singular triplet (u, τ, v) corresponding to the smallest singular value τ , provides the solution to a so-called structured and/or weighted total least squares problems (STLS and/or WTLS), which, for a given data matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$ and given nonnegative weights w_{ij} (including the limiting cases 0 and $+\infty$), is the following

$$\min_{B \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}, \text{rank}(B)=q-1, B \text{ structured}} \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^q (a_{ij} - b_{ij})^2 w_{ij}. \quad (7.2)$$

By 'B structured', we mean so-called affine structures, i.e. $B = B_0 + B_1 \beta_1 + \dots + B_N \beta_N$, where the matrices $B_i \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$ are fixed and the scalars $\beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$ are the parameters. Examples of such structured matrices are (centro- and per-) symmetric matrices, (block-)Hankel, (block-)Toeplitz, circulant, Brownian, Hankel + Toeplitz matrices, matrices with a certain

zero structure (sparsity pattern), etc ...¹. Structured/weighted total least squares problems have a wide variety of applications in signal processing and system identification (see e.g. [3] [4] [6] [5] or consult the search engine at <http://www.esat.kuleuven.ac.be/sista/publications>). The precise structure of the matrices D_u and D_v in the Riemannian SVD depends on the structure of A and B and the given weights. As an example, when B is required to be a $p \times q$ rank deficient Hankel matrix, and when all weights in (7.2) are 1, we have

$$D_u = T_u T_u^T, \quad D_v = T_v T_v^T,$$

where $T_u \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times (p+q-1)}$ is a banded Toeplitz matrix with the components of u as

$$T_u = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & \dots & \dots & u_{p-1} & u_p & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u_1 & u_2 & \dots & u_{p-2} & u_{p-1} & u_p & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & u_1 & \dots & \dots & u_p \end{pmatrix} \quad (7.3)$$

and $T_v \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times (p+q-1)}$ is constructed similarly from the components of v . We refer to [4] for a derivation and details.

The quest for the minimal singular value τ in the Riemannian SVD, originates in the fact that τ^2 is equal to the objective function in (7.2) (see e.g. [3] [4] for a derivation). For invertible D_v , we have $D_v^{-1} A v = u \tau$, hence the generalized (nonlinear) eigenvalue problem

$$A^T D_v^{-1} A v = D_u v \tau^2. \quad (7.4)$$

Premultiplication with v^T , shows that finding the minimal singular value τ , is equivalent with finding the minimizing solution v of the constrained optimization problem²:

$$\min_v \tau^2 = v^T A^T D_v^{-1} A v \text{ subject to } v^T v = 1. \quad (7.5)$$

2 A convergent algorithm ?

Of course, since finding the minimal singular value τ of the Riemannian SVD is equivalent with finding the minimum of the constrained nonlinear

¹When B is unstructured, and all weights are 1, we have the well-known *total linear least squares problem (TLLS)*, the solution of which is given by the singular value decomposition (SVD) of A (put $D_u = I_q$ and $D_v = I_p$ in (7.1)); For references on TLLS see e.g. [6] [7] [8].

²When v is a minimizer, we can find v as follows: Call $z = D_v^{-1} A v$. Then it follows from the objective function (7.5) that $z^T D_v z = \tau^2$. Call $u = z/\tau$. It follows that $A v = D_v u \tau$. Furthermore, we always have the equality $v^T D_u v = u^T D_u v$. Hence the equivalence.

optimization problem (7.5), one could apply 'classical' optimization techniques such as Gauss-Newton and its variants (see e.g. [1] where STLS/WTLS problems are treated this way). But when applying this approach, we ignore the 'SVD-like' character of the solution. If for instance we calculate the minimal singular value of a matrix, we use a 'dedicated' algorithm for doing so, even if we can formulate that problem as a nonlinear constrained optimization problem. There are indications that suggest that a 'dedicated' algorithm for the Riemannian SVD might be conceivable. Indeed, observe that, for fixed D_u and D_v (i.e. D_u and D_v are not a function of u , resp. v),

the Riemannian SVD reduces to the so-called *restricted SVD* described in [2]. For this case, the minimal singular value may be found by the classical century-old (inverse) power method (see e.g. [7]). This simple observation leads to the following proposal for a heuristic power method approach to find the minimal singular value of the Riemannian SVD, which is based on the generalized eigenvalue problem (7.4), in which we fix the matrices D_u and D_v in each iteration step:

(Inverse) power method for Riemannian SVD Initialize:

$$u^{[0]}, v^{[0]}, D_u^{[0]}, D_v^{[0]}, k = 0.$$

Iterate until convergence:

$$\begin{aligned} v^{[k+1]} &= (A^T D_v^{[k]} A)^{-1} D_u^{[k]} v_k \\ v^{[k+1]} &= v^{[k+1]} / \|v^{[k+1]}\| \\ \text{Update } D_u^{[k+1]} &= D_u^{[k]} \\ u^{[k+1]} &= D_v^{[k+1]} A v^{[k+1]} \\ u^{[k+1]} &= u^{[k+1]} / \|u^{[k+1]}\| \\ \text{Update } D_u^{[k+1]} &= \end{aligned}$$

On numerical try outs, this algorithm seems to work well (we omit implementation details here). It convergence rate seems to be linear. The following questions now apply:

- Can something rigorous be proven about the convergence behavior and rate of this 'heuristic' method ?

- In particular, the method we propose is like a power method, in which in each iteration the 'metric', as represented by D_u and D_v , is updated. The adaptation of the positive definite matrices D_u and D_v in each iteration step is reminiscent of so-called 'variable metric' methods. Can these observations be exploited in a convergence analysis?

- What about local and global minima ? (Observe that for fixed positive definite D_u and D_v , there is only one minimum, which is global. In this case, the other stationary points of (7.4) and (7.5) are saddle-points).

3 References

- [1] Abatzoglou T.J., Mendel J.M., Harada G.A. *The constrained total least squares technique and its application to harmonic superresolution*, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, SP-39, no.5, pp. 1070-1087, 1991.
- [2] De Moor B., Golub G.H. *The restricted singular value decomposition: properties and applications*. Siam Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, Vol.12, no.3, July 1991, pp.401-425.
- [3] De Moor B. *Structured total least squares and L_2 approximation problems*. Special issue of Linear Algebra and its Applications, on Numerical Linear Algebra Methods in Control, Signals and Systems (eds: Van Dooren, Ammar, Nichols, Mehrmann), Volume 188-189, July 1993, pp.163-207.
- [4] De Moor B. *Total least squares for affinely structured matrices and the noisy realization problem*. IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, Vol.42, no.11, November 1994.
- [5] De Moor B. *Linear system identification, structured total least squares and the Riemannian SVD*. In *Recent Advances in Total Least Squares Techniques and Errors-in-Variables modelling*, ed. S. Van Huffel, SIAM, 1997, pp.225-239.
- [6] De Moor B. *Structured total least squares for Hankel matrices*. in 'Communications, Computation, Control and Signal Processing', eds: Arogyaswami Paulraj, Vwani Roychowdhury, Charles Schaper, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1997, pp.243-258, (at the occasion of Thomas Kailath's 60th birthday, Stanford, USA) (see <http://www.wkap.nl/kapis/CGL-BIN//WORLD/book.htm?0-7923-9815-7>).
- [7] Golub G.H., Van Loan C. *Matrix Computations*. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore 1989 (2nd edition).
- [8] Van Huffel S., Vandewalle J. *The Total Least Squares Problem: Computational Aspects and Analysis*. Frontiers in Applied Mathematics 9, SIAM, Philadelphia, 300 pp, 1991.

8 Motion planning for some parameter distributed systems

Michel Fliess

Laboratoire des Signaux et Systèmes
C.N.R.S.-Supélec
Plateau de Moulon
91192 Gif-sur-Yvette
FRANCE

1 Motivation

In [1, 2] a method has been proposed for obtaining the motion planning of some infinite-dimensional systems governed by linear partial differential equations with constant coefficients and a single space variable. It has been successfully applied to a flexible robot in [3]. We would like to discuss its possible extension to variable coefficients and several space variables.

2 Description of the problem

Consider the Euler-Bernoulli partial differential equation with constant coefficients and one space variable:

$$\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} = 0 \quad (8.1)$$

$$\hat{w}^{(4)} + s^2 \hat{w} = 0 \quad (8.2)$$

if the initial conditions are zero. The general solution of (8.2) reads

$$\hat{w}(s, x) = ae^{x\sqrt[4]{s}} \quad (8.3)$$

where the coefficients are determined from the boundary conditions which involve the control variables.