98-53

J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **31** (1998) L685–L688. Printed in the UK PII: S0305-4470(98)95151-4

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

About quantum state characterization

T Alieva†‡ and André M Barbé†

† Department of Electrical Engineering ESAT, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Kardinaal Mercierlaan 94, 3001 Heverlee, Belgium

‡ A L Mintz Radiotechnical Institute, Moscow, Russia

Received 15 June 1998

Abstract. Examples of different pure quantum states which are not distinguishable by the finite set of their marginal distributions are presented.

The complete information about a pure state of a quantum mechanical system is encoded in a complex-valued wavefunction $\Psi(u)$, where u usually stand for position q or momentum p. Another representation of the quantum state is possible through the Wigner distribution function $W(q, p) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \Psi(q - q'/2)\Psi^*(q + q'/2)\exp(-\mathrm{i}pq')\,\mathrm{d}q'$ which is a real function of position and momentum. Here atomic units with $e = m = \hbar = 1$ are used. The Wigner function takes on negative values for certain non-classical states and so cannot be interpreted as ordinary probability distribution and be measured directly. The modern method of quantum-state characterization known as phase-space tomography [1–4] is based on the measurements of the Wigner function projections, also called marginal distributions, $pr(q, \alpha) = \int W(q\cos\alpha - p\sin\alpha, q\sin\alpha + p\cos\alpha)\,\mathrm{d}p$ over the different directions α in phase space. The set of such projections in the angle interval $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$ also completely defines the quantum state. The Wigner distribution function (and therefore the wavefunction) can be reconstructed from this set by using the inverse Radon transform. A problem is that the real experimental data contain only a finite number of the Wigner function projections. In this letter we shall show that there are distinct pure states which are not distinguishable by a finite number of their marginal distributions.

Let us consider two pure quantum states defined in position representation by the wavefunctions $\Psi_1(q)$ and $\Psi_2(q)$. These wavefunctions describe the same quantum state if there is a complex number a such that $\Psi_1(q) = a\Psi_2(q)$, otherwise the quantum states are different. We are looking for different quantum states which have the same marginal distributions $pr(q, \alpha)$ for a certain number of angles $\{\alpha_i\}$. We restrict our consideration to the two states defined by the complex-conjugate wavefunctions $\Psi_1(q) = \Psi_2^*(q)$. From that, one can easily observe the equality of their position distributions: $pr_1(q, 0) = |\Psi_1(q)|^2 = |\Psi_2(q)|^2 = pr_2(q, 0)$.

For further consideration, we introduce another definition of the marginal distribution $pr(u, \alpha)$ which is related to the fractional Fourier transform (FT) $R^\alpha[\Psi(q)](u)$ of the wavefunction $\Psi(q)$ [5, 6]:

$$R^\alpha[\Psi(q)](u) = F(u, \alpha) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi(q) K_\alpha(q, u)\,\mathrm{d}q \tag{1}$$

0305-4470/98/390685+04$19.50 © 1998 IOP Publishing Ltd

with the kernel

$$K_\alpha(q,u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{i}2\pi \sin\alpha}} \exp\left(\mathrm{i}\frac{(q^2+u^2)\cos\alpha - 2qu}{2\sin\alpha}\right). \tag{2}$$

The kernel of this transform is a propagator of the non-stationary Schrödinger equation for the harmonic oscillator. The fractional FT at angle $2\pi n$ (n is an integer) corresponds to the identity operator. For $\alpha = \pi/2$, relationship (1) is the ordinary FT except for a constant phase shift. One can say that the fractional FT of $\Psi(q)$ is another representation of the quantum state along an axis making some angle α with the position axis in phase space. Thus the quantum state can be described by $\Psi(q)$ in position representation that corresponds to $\alpha = 0$, or by $R^{\pi/2}[\Psi(q)](u)$ in momentum representation or by $R^\alpha[\Psi(q)](u)$ in arbitrary α-representation.

The marginal distribution (or Radon–Wigner transform of $\Psi(q)$) for angle α is the squared modulus of the fractional FT [7] of the wavefunction in the position representation $\Psi(q)$ where the angle is calculated from the position axis

$$pr(u,\alpha) = |R^\alpha[\Psi(q)](u)|^2. \tag{3}$$

For $\alpha = 0$, $\alpha = \pi/2$ and $\alpha = \pi$, the marginal distribution reduces to $|\Psi(q)|^2$, $|R^{\pi/2}[\Psi(q)](u)|^2$ and $|\Psi(-q)|^2$ respectively.

It is easy to see from (1)–(3) that the marginal distributions for complex-conjugate functions $\Psi(q)$ and $\Psi^*(q)$ enjoy the following property:

$$|R^\alpha[\Psi(q)](u)|^2 = |R^{-\alpha}[\Psi^*(q)](u)|^2 = |R^{\pi-\alpha}[\Psi^*(q)](-u)|^2. \tag{4}$$

So if $\Psi_1(q)$ is such that

$$|R^\alpha[\Psi_1(q)](u)|^2 = |R^{-\alpha}[\Psi_1(q)](u)|^2 \tag{5}$$

then the marginal distributions for angle α of $\Psi_1(q)$ and $\Psi_2(q)$ are identical: $|R^\alpha[\Psi_2(q)](u)|^2 \overset{(4)}{=} |R^{-\alpha}[\Psi_1(q)](u)|^2 \overset{(5)}{=} |R^\alpha[\Psi_1(q)](u)|^2$.

From this it follows that the quantum state need not be uniquely determined by its position $|R^0[\Psi(q)](u)|^2 = |\Psi(u)|^2$ and momentum $|R^{\pi/2}[\Psi(q)](u)|^2$ distributions [8]. Indeed, let the quantum state described in the position representation by the wavefunction $\Psi_1(q)$ have an even momentum distribution:

$$|R^{\pi/2}[\Psi_1(q)](u)|^2 = |R^{\pi/2}[\Psi_1(q)](-u)|^2.$$

Then, applying (4), we observe that the quantum state described by the wavefunction $\Psi_2(q) = \Psi_1^*(q)$ has the same position and momentum distributions: $|R^{\pi/2}[\Psi_2(q)](u)|^2 = |R^{\pi/2}[\Psi_1^*(q)](u)|^2 \overset{(4)}{=} |R^{\pi/2}[\Psi_1(q)](-u)|^2 = |R^{\pi/2}[\Psi_1(q)](u)|^2$.

It is easy to see that all even or odd complex conjugate wavefunctions $\Psi_1(q)$ and $\Psi_2(q)$ have the same position and momentum distributions. From (1), (2) follows that the fractional FT of an even or odd function satisfies

$$R^\alpha[\Psi(q)](u) = \pm R^\alpha[\Psi(-q)](u) = \pm R^{\alpha+\pi}[\Psi(q)](u) = \pm R^\alpha[\Psi(q)](-u) \tag{6}$$

where the $+$ sign stands for even, and the $-$ sign for odd signals. Then the marginal distributions of even and odd wavefunctions are even

$$|R^\alpha[\Psi(q)](u)|^2 = |R^\alpha[\Psi(q)](-u)|^2. \tag{7}$$

In particular $|R^{\pi/2}[\Psi(q)](u)|^2 = |R^{\pi/2}[\Psi(q)](-u)|^2$, meaning, as we have seen above, that even and odd complex-conjugate wavefunctions have the same position and momentum distributions.

It is easy to prove that relationship (5) also holds for self-fractional Fourier functions (SFFFs) $\Psi_\alpha(q)$ which are the eigenfunctions of the fractional FT operator [9–11] for some angle α:

$$R^\alpha[\Psi_\alpha(q)](u) = A\Psi_\alpha(u) \tag{8}$$

where A is a complex constant factor such that $|A| = 1$. The Hermite–Gauss mode content of such a wavefunction has been considered in [11].

As it has been shown in [12], if $\Psi_\alpha(q)$ is an α-SFFF with eigenvalue A then $\Psi^*_\alpha(q)$ is also an α-SFFF with eigenvalue A. Moreover, the fractional FT of α-SFFF with eigenvalue A for angle $-\alpha$ is given by

$$R^{-\alpha}[\Psi_\alpha(q)](u) = A^*\Psi_\alpha(u). \tag{9}$$

Then $|R^\alpha[\Psi_\alpha(q)](u)|^2 = |R^{-\alpha}[\Psi_\alpha(q)](u)|^2 = |R^\alpha[\Psi^*_\alpha(q)](u)|^2$, which corresponds to (5). It follows from the additivity property for fractional FT: $R^\alpha R^\beta = R^{\alpha+\beta}$ (see [6]) and (8) that, if a function is a SFFF for α with eigenvalue A, it is also one for αk ($k = 1, 2, \ldots$) with eigenvalue A^k and then $|R^{k\alpha}[\Psi_\alpha(q)](u)|^2 = |R^{k\alpha}[\Psi^*_\alpha(q)](u)|^2 = |\Psi_\alpha(u)|^2$. This means that the two states defined by the complex-conjugate wavefunctions $\Psi_{1,\alpha}(q) = \Psi^*_{2.\alpha}(q)$ being α-SFFF have the same marginal distributions for a sequence of angles αk, where k is an integer. All these distributions equal the position distribution. Note that in general the map of the marginal distributions for such quantum states, described by α-SFFF $\Psi_\alpha(q)$, is periodic in the angle with period α

$$|R^{k\alpha+\beta}[\Psi_\alpha(q)](u)|^2 = |R^\beta[\Psi_\alpha(q)](u)|^2. \tag{10}$$

Moreover, using the additivity property for the fractional FT, we also derive that

$$\begin{aligned} R^\beta[\Psi_\alpha(q)](u) &= R^{\alpha-(\alpha-\beta)}[\Psi_\alpha(q)](u) \\ &= AR^{\beta-\alpha}[\Psi_\alpha(q)](u) \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

and, in particular, for $\beta = \alpha/2$ we have that the fractional FT of an α-SFFF at angles $\alpha/2$ and $-\alpha/2$ are identical except for a constant phase factor which depends on the eigenvalue:

$$R^{\alpha/2}[\Psi_\alpha(q)](u) = AR^{-\alpha/2}[\Psi_\alpha(q)](u). \tag{12}$$

Then $|R^{\alpha/2}[\Psi_\alpha(q)](u)|^2 \overset{(12)}{=} |R^{-\alpha/2}[\Psi_\alpha(q)](u)|^2 \overset{(4)}{=} |R^{\alpha/2}[\Psi^*_\alpha(q)](u)|^2$, which corresponds to (5).

So two quantum states defined by $\Psi_\alpha(q)$ and $\Psi^*_\alpha(q)$ have the same marginal distributions for the set of angles $k\alpha/2$, where k is an integer. The marginal distributions $|R^{\alpha k/2}[\Psi_\alpha(q)](u)|^2$ equal the position distribution only for even k. Note that all SFFFs for angles $2\pi/M$, where M is even, have the same momentum distribution as their complex conjugates. In particular we can treat the odd or even wavefunctions as a SFFF for angle π. Then, using (12), we come to the equality of the momentum distributions of the quantum states defined by $\Psi_\alpha(q)$ and $\Psi^*_\alpha(q)$ as has been shown above.

Finally, we can conclude that a finite number of marginal distributions, just like the position and momentum ones cannot in general completely define a quantum state.

This work has been partially supported from a Concerted Action Project of the Flemish Community entitled *Model-based Information Systems* GOA-MIPS, and by the Belgian Program on Interuniversity Attraction Poles of the Belgian Prime Minister's Office for Science, Technology and Culture (IUAP P4-02).

References

[1] Raymer M Beck M and McAlister D F 1994 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72** 1137–40
[2] Raymer M and Schleich W P (ed) 1997 *J. Mod. Opt.* **44** (special issue)
[3] Freyberger M, Bardroff P, Leichtle C, Sharade G and Schleich W 1997 *Phys. World* **10** 41–5
[4] Leibfried D, Pfau T and Monroe C 1998 *Phys. Today* **4** 22–8
[5] Namias V 1980 *J. Inst. Math. Appl.* **25** 241–65
[6] Almeida L B 1994 *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.* **42** 3084–91
[7] Lohmann A W and Soffer B H 1994 *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* A **11** 1798–801
[8] Vogt A 1978 *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Theory* ed A R Marlow (New York: Academic) pp 365–71
[9] Mendlovic D, Ozaktas H M and Lohmann A W 1994 *Opt. Commun.* **105** 36–8
[10] Alieva T 1996 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **29** L377–9
[11] Alieva T and Barbé A 1997 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **30** L211–15
[12] Alieva T and Barbé A 1998 *Opt. Commun.* **152** 11–15